

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/713,949	11/13/2003	Robert A. Farris	4002-3445/PC295.12	2904
75	590 12/14/2004		EXAM	INER
•	hardt, McNett & Henr	REIP, DAVID OWEN		
Bank One Center/Tower Suite 3700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111 Monument Circle			3731	
Indianapolis, Il	N 46204-5137			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled efter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, its est barn thity (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1333). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 October 2004 and 15 November 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 9-12 and 14-42 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22, 29 and 34-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) are subjected to.  8) Claim(s) are subjected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The prediction is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Application of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				⟨/`
Examiner David O. Reip 3731  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of him/ (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of him/ (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is the mention of the correction of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of him/ (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of him/ (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of him/ (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of him/ (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of him/ (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of him/ (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply days will be reply filed on 12 November 2003 is/are: a) [10] accepted or him the considered timely.  If the period for the period for days and the period for period for the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner.		Application No.	Applicant(s)	9.
David O. Relp  3731	Office Action Comment	10/713,949	FARRIS ET AL.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, he maximum statution period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, he maximum statution period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Any reply received by the Office late than three mentils after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 25 October 2004 and 15 November 2004.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 9-12 and 14-42 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22, 29 and 34-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	//
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Six (in MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply uniform the statutory informan of thiny (30) days will be considered frinely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply uniform the statutory informan of thiny (30) days will be considered frinely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply uniform the statutory informan of thiny (30) days will be considered frinely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply uniform the statutory informan of thiny (30) days will be considered frinely.  If the period for reply with the set of standard period for reply will, by statution and the statutory information of the mailing date of this communication.  Fallure to reply within the set of standard period for reply will, by statution cause the specialization to be come and BANDONED (25, 6, 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search get any reply received any search get any reply received any reply received any search get any reply received any reply rep				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  E Measines of time may be variable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the mastman statutory period will apply and will eagle SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the mastman statutory period will apply and will eagle SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 October 2004 and 15 November 2004.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 9-12 and 14-42 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22, 29 and 34-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 16/4-19 23-28, 30-33 and 39-42 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 16/4-19 23-28, 30-33 and 39-42 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 16/4-19 23-28, 30-33 and 39-42 is/are rejected.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.21(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Applica		ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addres	s
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 October 2004 and 15 November 2004.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 9-12 and 14-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22, 29 and 34-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 9-12.14-19.23-28.30-33 and 39-42 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute that the period for reply will, by statute that the mailing the period for reply will, by statute that the mailing the period for reply will, by statute that the mailing the period for reply will, by statute that the months after the mailing the period for reply will by the Office later than three months after the mailing the period for reply will be period for reply will	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to te, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this commur  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 9-12 and 14-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22, 29 and 34-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-12,14-19,23-28,30-33 and 39-42 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 9-12 and 14-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22, 29 and 34-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-12,14-19,23-28,30-33 and 39-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 (	October 2004 and 15 Novembe	<u>r 2004</u> .	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 9-12 and 14-42 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22, 29 and 34-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ○ Claim(s)	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
A) Claim(s) 9-12 and 14-42 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22, 29 and 34-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 9-12,14-19,23-28,30-33 and 39-42 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		·		rits is
4)  Claim(s) 9-12 and 14-42 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22, 29 and 34-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 9-12,14-19,23-28,30-33 and 39-42 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-22, 29 and 34-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 9-12.14-19.23-28.30-33 and 39-42 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Disposition of Claims			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-22, 29 and 34-38</u></li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>9-12,14-19,23-28,30-33 and 39-42</u> is</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	g is/are withdrawn from conside s/are rejected.	ration.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Papers			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objection of accepted or b)⊡ objection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the contract	cation No eived in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/03.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	il Date	)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 20-22, 29 and 34-38 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species of invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/25/04.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23-27, 30-32, 39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tornier (U.S. Pat. No. 4,488,543). Figs. 1-3 of Tornier show a bone fixation system having all the limitations as recited in the above listed claims, including: a curved plate 2; a first opening 6 left; a second opening 6 right (for the purpose of interpretation of the reference and this action, consider in Fig. 1 that the opening in the upper left corner of the plate will be designated "6 left," and the opening in the upper right corner of the plate will be designated "6 right."); a first fastener 3 having a first shank perimeter (tapered portion 3a being considered a portion of the shank) substantially corresponding to the first opening 6 left perimeter, and is positionable at a

Art Unit: 3731

fixed angle relative to the bottom surface of the plate; a second fastener 4 (clearly seen as having a smaller shank diameter than fasteners 3) with a second shank perimeter substantially smaller than the second opening 6 right, and is inherently positionable at a plurality of angles relative to the bottom surface of the plate (if placed through the second opening 6 right); and a locking assembly (9, 11).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 3731

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 28, 33 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tornier. Tornier does not specifically disclose a fusion member holdable in a position by the plate. However, it is a well established practice in the art of orthopedic surgery to insert a fusion member (or substance, such as bone cement) at a fracture site or, in the case of vertebral fusion, in the disk space(s) between two or more vertebrae that are to be fused, and then cover the fusion member with a fixation plate in order to hold the underlying bones and fusion member in intimate contact with one another to promote a successful fusion. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the Tornier plate in combination with a fusion member, for the reasons discussed above.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 5

Claims 9-12, 14-19, 23-28, 30-33 and 39-42 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-55 of U.S. Patent No. 6,669,700 and over claims 1-79 of U.S. Patent. No. 6,152,927. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application claims are either broader in scope (i.e. "generic") to the more narrow "species" claims already granted in the '700 and '927 patents, or are substantially similar in scope to the claims already granted in the '700 and '927 patents. Thus, the generic invention (e.g. the invention defined by a claim to ABC) is "anticipated" by the species (e.g. the invention defined by a claim to ABCXY) of the patented invention. Accordingly, absent a terminal disclaimer, claims 9-12, 14-19, 23-28, 30-33 and 39-42 are properly rejected under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David O. Reip whose telephone number is 571-272-4702. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 A.M.- 4 P.M. Mon-Thu and every other Fri..

Application/Control Number: 10/713,949 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David O. Reip

Primary Examiner

AU 3731